IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O. O. C. J.

WRIT PETITION NO.89 OF 1992

Rajan Manubhai Doctor & Ors. ...Petitioners.
Vs.
M/s.Montex Properties & Estate Private Ltd.& Ors. ...
Respondents.

....

Mr. Shailesh Shah i/b. Khona & Kayser for the Petitioners.
Ms. Usha Vishwanathan for Respondent No.1.
Mr. Owen Menezes, AGP for Respondent No.5.
Mr.D. H. Mehta with Mrs. A.R. Joshi for Respondent No.4.

.....

CORAM : S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, JJ.

November 25, 2004.

P.C.:

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners and the Respondents and the learned AGP for the State of Maharashtra. We have perused amended paragraph 16(A) of the Petition. Shri Menezes, learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent-State of Maharashtra also categorically states that the development plan as sanctioned does not provide for reservation for Women's Educational Centre & Hostel. In view thereof, even the resolution passed by the Municipal Corporation in that behalf to acquire the same, would not survive any longer. In these

circumstances, nothing survives in this petition. Rule stands discharged.

(S.RADHAKRISHNAN)

(DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.)